

Rack Automorphisms and Reflections to Solutions of the Yang-Baxter Equation

Andrea Albano

Department of Mathematics and Physics “Ennio De Giorgi”
University of Salento - Lecce

AGTA Workshop - Reinhold Baer Prize 2024

Department of Mathematics and Applications “Renato Caccioppoli” -
Naples

This talk is based on some results obtained in:



A. Albano, M. Mazzotta, and P. Stefanelli. Reflections to set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. *arXiv:2405.19105* (2024).

This work was supported by the "National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures, and their Applications" (GNSAGA - INdAM)

Andrea Albano was supported by a scholarship financed by the Ministerial Decree no. 118/2023, based on the NRRP - funded by the European Union - NextGenerationEU - Mission 4.

The Yang-Baxter Equation



V. G. Drinfeld, On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory, in: *Quantum groups (Leningrad, 1990)*, 1–8, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1510**, Springer, Berlin.

If X is a set, a map $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ satisfying the *braid relation*

$$(r \times \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \times r)(r \times \text{id}_X) = (\text{id}_X \times r)(r \times \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \times r),$$

is called a *set-theoretic solution* to the *YBE*.

The Yang-Baxter Equation



V. G. Drinfeld, On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory, in: *Quantum groups (Leningrad, 1990)*, 1–8, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1510**, Springer, Berlin.

If X is a set, a map $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ satisfying the *braid relation*

$$(r \times \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \times r)(r \times \text{id}_X) = (\text{id}_X \times r)(r \times \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \times r),$$

is called a *set-theoretic solution* to the *YBE*.

If we project r onto its components and write

$$r(a, b) = (\lambda_a(b), \rho_b(a)),$$

where $\lambda_a, \rho_b : X \rightarrow X$ are maps, for all $a, b \in X$, then r is named:

The Yang-Baxter Equation



V. G. Drinfeld, On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory, in: *Quantum groups (Leningrad, 1990)*, 1–8, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1510**, Springer, Berlin.

If X is a set, a map $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ satisfying the *braid relation*

$$(r \times \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \times r)(r \times \text{id}_X) = (\text{id}_X \times r)(r \times \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \times r),$$

is called a *set-theoretic solution* to the *YBE*.

If we project r onto its components and write

$$r(a, b) = (\lambda_a(b), \rho_b(a)),$$

where $\lambda_a, \rho_b : X \rightarrow X$ are maps, for all $a, b \in X$, then r is named:

- ▶ *left non-degenerate* if λ_a is bijective, for all $a \in X$;

The Yang-Baxter Equation



V. G. Drinfeld, On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory, in: *Quantum groups (Leningrad, 1990)*, 1–8, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1510**, Springer, Berlin.

If X is a set, a map $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ satisfying the *braid relation*

$$(r \times \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \times r)(r \times \text{id}_X) = (\text{id}_X \times r)(r \times \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \times r),$$

is called a *set-theoretic solution* to the *YBE*.

If we project r onto its components and write

$$r(a, b) = (\lambda_a(b), \rho_b(a)),$$

where $\lambda_a, \rho_b : X \rightarrow X$ are maps, for all $a, b \in X$, then r is named:

- ▶ *left non-degenerate* if λ_a is bijective, for all $a \in X$;
- ▶ *right non-degenerate* if ρ_b is bijective, for all $b \in X$;

The Yang-Baxter Equation



V. G. Drinfeld, On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory, in: *Quantum groups (Leningrad, 1990)*, 1–8, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1510**, Springer, Berlin.

If X is a set, a map $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ satisfying the *braid relation*

$$(r \times \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \times r)(r \times \text{id}_X) = (\text{id}_X \times r)(r \times \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \times r),$$

is called a *set-theoretic solution* to the *YBE*.

If we project r onto its components and write

$$r(a, b) = (\lambda_a(b), \rho_b(a)),$$

where $\lambda_a, \rho_b : X \rightarrow X$ are maps, for all $a, b \in X$, then r is named:

- ▶ *left non-degenerate* if λ_a is bijective, for all $a \in X$;
- ▶ *right non-degenerate* if ρ_b is bijective, for all $b \in X$;
- ▶ *non-degenerate* if it is both left and right non-degenerate.

The Yang-Baxter Equation



V. G. Drinfeld, On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory, in: *Quantum groups (Leningrad, 1990)*, 1–8, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1510**, Springer, Berlin.

If X is a set, a map $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ satisfying the *braid relation*

$$(r \times \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \times r)(r \times \text{id}_X) = (\text{id}_X \times r)(r \times \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \times r),$$

is called a *set-theoretic solution* to the *YBE*.

If we project r onto its components and write

$$r(a, b) = (\lambda_a(b), \rho_b(a)),$$

where $\lambda_a, \rho_b : X \rightarrow X$ are maps, for all $a, b \in X$, then r is named:

- ▶ *left non-degenerate* if λ_a is bijective, for all $a \in X$;
- ▶ *right non-degenerate* if ρ_b is bijective, for all $b \in X$;
- ▶ *non-degenerate* if it is both left and right non-degenerate.

A solution (X, r) is *involution* if $r^2 = \text{id}_{X \times X}$.

Shelves, racks and what's more

A *(left) shelf* (X, \triangleright) is a set X equipped with a binary operation \triangleright such that:

$$x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) = (x \triangleright y) \triangleright (x \triangleright z),$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Shelves, racks and what's more

A *(left) shelf* (X, \triangleright) is a set X equipped with a binary operation \triangleright such that:

$$x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) = (x \triangleright y) \triangleright (x \triangleright z),$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. A shelf (X, \triangleright) is called a

- ▶ *rack*, if the map $L_x : X \ni y \mapsto x \triangleright y \in X$ is bijective, for all $x \in X$;
- ▶ *quandle*, if (X, \triangleright) is a rack such that $x \triangleright x = x$, for all $x \in X$.

Shelves, racks and what's more

A (*left*) *shelf* (X, \triangleright) is a set X equipped with a binary operation \triangleright such that:

$$x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) = (x \triangleright y) \triangleright (x \triangleright z),$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. A shelf (X, \triangleright) is called a

- ▶ *rack*, if the map $L_x : X \ni y \mapsto x \triangleright y \in X$ is bijective, for all $x \in X$;
- ▶ *quandle*, if (X, \triangleright) is a rack such that $x \triangleright x = x$, for all $x \in X$.



D. Joyce, A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **23** (1982), no. 1, 37–65.



S. V. Matveev, Distributive groupoids in knot theory, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **119(161)** (1982), no. 1, 78–88, 160.

Some examples

- ▶ **Cyclic Shelf:** Let X be a non-empty set, let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a map and define

$$x \triangleright y = f(y).$$

Then, (X, \triangleright) is a shelf and a

- rack* if and only if f is bijective;
- quandle* if and only if $f = \text{id}_X$.

Some examples

- ▶ **Cyclic Shelf:** Let X be a non-empty set, let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a map and define

$$x \triangleright y = f(y).$$

Then, (X, \triangleright) is a shelf and a

- i)* rack if and only if f is bijective;
 - ii)* quandle if and only if $f = \text{id}_X$.
- ▶ **Dihedral Quandle:** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and define on $X = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$

$$a \triangleright b = 2a - b.$$

Then, (X, \triangleright) is a quandle.

Some examples

- ▶ **Cyclic Shelf:** Let X be a non-empty set, let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a map and define

$$x \triangleright y = f(y).$$

Then, (X, \triangleright) is a shelf and a

- i) rack* if and only if f is bijective;
 - ii) quandle* if and only if $f = \text{id}_X$.
- ▶ **Dihedral Quandle:** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and define on $X = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$

$$a \triangleright b = 2a - b.$$

Then, (X, \triangleright) is a quandle.

- ▶ **Conjugation Quandle:** Let G be a group and define

$$x \triangleright y = x^{-1}yx.$$

Then, $\text{Conj}(G) = (G, \triangleright)$ is a quandle.

Self-distributivity in the YBE

Let (X, \triangleright) be a shelf and define $r_{\triangleright} : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ by

$$r_{\triangleright}(a, b) = (b, b \triangleright a),$$

Then, r_{\triangleright} is a *left non-degenerate solution*.

Self-distributivity in the YBE

Let (X, \triangleright) be a shelf and define $r_{\triangleright} : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ by

$$r_{\triangleright}(a, b) = (b, b \triangleright a),$$

Then, r_{\triangleright} is a *left non-degenerate solution*.

Conversely let (X, r) be a *left non-degenerate solution* and define \triangleright_r on X by setting

$$a \triangleright_r b = \lambda_a \rho_{\lambda_b^{-1}(a)}(b).$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Self-distributivity in the YBE

Let (X, \triangleright) be a shelf and define $r_{\triangleright} : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ by

$$r_{\triangleright}(a, b) = (b, b \triangleright a),$$

Then, r_{\triangleright} is a *left non-degenerate solution*.

Conversely let (X, r) be a *left non-degenerate solution* and define \triangleright_r on X by setting

$$a \triangleright_r b = \lambda_a \rho_{\lambda_b^{-1}(a)}(b).$$

for all $a, b \in X$. Then, (X, \triangleright_r) is a *shelf* called the *derived shelf* of (X, r) .

Self-distributivity in the YBE

Let (X, \triangleright) be a shelf and define $r_{\triangleright} : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ by

$$r_{\triangleright}(a, b) = (b, b \triangleright a),$$

Then, r_{\triangleright} is a *left non-degenerate solution*.

Conversely let (X, r) be a *left non-degenerate solution* and define \triangleright_r on X by setting

$$a \triangleright_r b = \lambda_a \rho_{\lambda_b^{-1}(a)}(b).$$

for all $a, b \in X$. Then, (X, \triangleright_r) is a *shelf* called the *derived shelf* of (X, r) .

If (X, r) is left non-degenerate then

$$\begin{aligned} (X, r) \text{ is bijective} &\iff (X, \triangleright_r) \text{ is a rack,} \\ (X, r) \text{ is involutive} &\iff (X, \triangleright_r) \text{ is trivial, i.e. } a \triangleright_r b = b. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

Let (X, \triangleright) be a shelf and consider a map $\lambda : X \rightarrow \text{Sym}_X$. Then, the map $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ defined for all $a, b \in X$ by

$$r(a, b) = (\lambda_a(b), \lambda_{\lambda_a(b)}^{-1}(\lambda_a(b) \triangleright_r a)),$$

is a solution if and only if the following holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_a &\in \text{Aut}(X, \triangleright_r), \text{ for all } a \in X; \\ \lambda_a \lambda_b &= \lambda_{\lambda_a(b)} \lambda_{\lambda_{\lambda_a(b)}^{-1}(\lambda_a(b) \triangleright a)}, \text{ for all } a, b \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, any *left non-degenerate solution* can be constructed in this way.



A. Doikou, B. Rybołowicz and P. Stefanelli, Quandles as pre-Lie skew braces, set-theoretic Hopf algebras & universal \mathcal{R} -matrices, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **57** (2024) 405203.

The Reflection Equation



V. Caudrelier and Q. C. Zhang, Yang-Baxter and reflection maps from vector solitons with a boundary, *Nonlinearity* **27** (2014), no. 6, 1081-1103.

Let (X, r) be a solution. A map $\kappa : X \rightarrow X$ is a *solution to the set-theoretic reflection equation*, or simply a *reflection*, for (X, r) if the following holds:

$$r (\text{id}_X \times \kappa) r (\text{id}_X \times \kappa) = (\text{id}_X \times \kappa) r (\text{id}_X \times \kappa) r$$



I. Cherednik, Factorizing particles on a half line, and root systems, *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* **61** (1984), no. 1, 35-44.



E. K. Sklyanin, Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems, *J. Phys. A* **21** (1988), no. 10, 2375-2389.

Notation:

$\mathcal{K}(X, r)$ is the set of all **reflections** for (X, r) .

$\mathcal{K}_{\text{bij}}(X, r)$ is the set of all **bijective reflections** for (X, r) .

State of the Art

- ▶ [Caudrelier, Crampé, and Zhang (2013)] deepens the interplay between solutions to the YBE and reflections.
- ▶ [De Commer (2019)] introduced a notion of braided action of a group with braiding and showed that it provides reflections.
- ▶ [Smoktunowicz, Vendramin, and Weston (2020)] proposed a systematic approach employing general methods coming from the theory of *braces*, to produce families of reflections.
- ▶ [Doikou and Smoktunowicz (2021)] investigated connections between set-theoretic Yang–Baxter and reflection equations and quantum integrable systems.
- ▶ [Lebed and Vendramin (2022)] focused on reflections for involutive non-degenerate solutions.

λ -centralizing and ρ -invariant maps

Let X be a set and consider a map $\sigma : X \rightarrow X^X$, $\sigma(a) = \sigma_a$.
A map $\kappa : X \rightarrow X$ is called

- ▶ σ -centralizing if $\sigma_a \kappa = \kappa \sigma_a$, for all $a \in X$;
- ▶ σ -invariant if $\sigma_{\kappa(a)} = \sigma_a$, for all $a \in X$.

λ -centralizing and ρ -invariant maps

Let X be a set and consider a map $\sigma : X \rightarrow X^X$, $\sigma(a) = \sigma_a$.
A map $\kappa : X \rightarrow X$ is called

- ▶ σ -centralizing if $\sigma_a \kappa = \kappa \sigma_a$, for all $a \in X$;
- ▶ σ -invariant if $\sigma_{\kappa(a)} = \sigma_a$, for all $a \in X$.

Let (X, r) be a solution and if we write $r(a, b) = (\lambda_a(b), \rho_b(a))$, then:

- ▶ [SVW (2020)] if r is involutive left non-degenerate then

$$\kappa : X \rightarrow X \text{ is } \lambda\text{-centralizing} \implies \kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r);$$

- ▶ [LV (2022)] if r is involutive right non-degenerate then

$$\kappa : X \rightarrow X \text{ is } \rho\text{-invariant} \implies \kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r);$$

The non-involutive case

Example

There exists a *skew-brace* $(B, +, \circ)$ where $(B, +) \cong D_8$ and $(B, \circ) \cong C_8$ whose associated solution (B, r) has

- ▶ **288** reflections;
- ▶ **256** *only* λ -centralizing reflections;
- ▶ **16** *only* ρ -invariant reflections;
- ▶ **16** of *both* types.

Recall that a *skew-brace* $(B, +, \circ)$ is the datum of two groups $(B, +)$ and (B, \circ) such that

$$a \circ (b + c) = a \circ b - a + a \circ c,$$

for all $a, b, c \in B$. The map $r : B \times B \rightarrow B \times B$ defined by

$$r(a, b) = (\lambda_a(b), (\lambda_a(b))^{-} \circ a \circ b),$$

is a *bijjective non-degenerate solution*.

Recovering lost reflections

Theorem [AMS (2024x)]

Let (X, r) be a solution and let $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r)$.

If $\varphi, \psi : X \rightarrow X$ are λ, ρ -centralizing and λ, ρ -invariant maps, then

$$\varphi \kappa \psi \in \mathcal{K}(X, r).$$

Recovering lost reflections

Theorem [AMS (2024x)]

Let (X, r) be a solution and let $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r)$.

If $\varphi, \psi : X \rightarrow X$ are λ, ρ -centralizing and λ, ρ -invariant maps, then

$$\varphi \kappa \psi \in \mathcal{K}(X, r).$$

This generalizes an analogous result contained in [LV (2022)] valid for *involutive* solutions.

Notice that *all* reflections share this form.

Reflections for left non-degenerate solutions

Theorem [AMS (2024x)]

Let (X, r) be left non-degenerate and $\kappa : X \rightarrow X$ be a λ -centralizing map. For all $a, b \in X$ write $L_a(b) = \lambda_a \rho_{\lambda_b^{-1}(a)}(b)$.

Reflections for left non-degenerate solutions

Theorem [AMS (2024x)]

Let (X, r) be left non-degenerate and $\kappa : X \rightarrow X$ be a λ -centralizing map. For all $a, b \in X$ write $L_a(b) = \lambda_a \rho_{\lambda_b^{-1}(a)}(b)$. Then

$$\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r) \iff \begin{cases} \forall a, b \in X \ \kappa L_{L_a(b)}(a) = L_{\kappa L_a(b)} \kappa(a) \\ \forall a \in X \ \kappa L_a = \kappa L_{\kappa(a)} \end{cases}$$

Reflections for left non-degenerate solutions

Theorem [AMS (2024x)]

Let (X, r) be left non-degenerate and $\kappa : X \rightarrow X$ be a λ -centralizing map. For all $a, b \in X$ write $L_a(b) = \lambda_a \rho_{\lambda_b^{-1}(a)}(b)$. Then

$$\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r) \iff \begin{cases} \forall a, b \in X \ \kappa L_{L_a(b)}(a) = L_{\kappa L_a(b)} \kappa(a) \\ \forall a \in X \ \kappa L_a = \kappa L_{\kappa(a)} \end{cases} \iff \kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r_{\triangleright})$$

Reflections for left non-degenerate solutions

Theorem [AMS (2024x)]

Let (X, r) be left non-degenerate and $\kappa : X \rightarrow X$ be a λ -centralizing map. For all $a, b \in X$ write $L_a(b) = \lambda_a \rho_{\lambda_b^{-1}(a)}(b)$. Then

$$\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r) \iff \begin{cases} \forall a, b \in X \ \kappa L_{L_a(b)}(a) = L_{\kappa L_a(b)} \kappa(a) \\ \forall a \in X \ \kappa L_a = \kappa L_{\kappa(a)} \end{cases} \iff \kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r_{\triangleright})$$

Corollary [AMS (2024x)]

Let (X, r) be a bijective non-degenerate solution. If $\kappa : X \rightarrow X$ is a λ -centralizing map, then

$$\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r) \iff \begin{cases} \kappa \in \text{End}(X, \triangleright_r) \\ \forall x \in X \ \kappa L_x = \kappa L_{\kappa(x)} \end{cases}$$

Reflections for left non-degenerate solutions

Theorem [AMS (2024x)]

Let (X, r) be left non-degenerate and $\kappa : X \rightarrow X$ be a λ -centralizing map. For all $a, b \in X$ write $L_a(b) = \lambda_a \rho_{\lambda_b^{-1}(a)}(b)$. Then

$$\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r) \iff \begin{cases} \forall a, b \in X \ \kappa L_{L_a(b)}(a) = L_{\kappa L_a(b)} \kappa(a) \\ \forall a \in X \ \kappa L_a = \kappa L_{\kappa(a)} \end{cases} \iff \kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r_{\triangleright})$$

Corollary [AMS (2024x)]

Let (X, r) be a bijective non-degenerate solution. If $\kappa : X \rightarrow X$ is a λ -centralizing map, then

$$\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r) \iff \begin{cases} \kappa \in \text{End}(X, \triangleright_r) \\ \forall x \in X \ \kappa L_x = \kappa L_{\kappa(x)} \end{cases}$$

If (X, r) is *involutive* left non-degenerate then (X, \triangleright_r) is *trivial*.

Reflections for right non-degenerate solutions

If (X, r) is a right non-degenerate solution, one can consider the right rack (X, \triangleleft_r) where $a \triangleleft_r b := \rho_a \lambda_{\rho_b^{-1}(a)}(b)$, for all $a, b \in X$.

Reflections for right non-degenerate solutions

If (X, r) is a right non-degenerate solution, one can consider the right rack (X, \triangleleft_r) where $a \triangleleft_r b := \rho_a \lambda_{\rho_b^{-1}(a)}(b)$, for all $a, b \in X$.

Theorem [AMS (2024x)]

Let (X, r) be right non-degenerate and $\kappa : X \rightarrow X$ be a ρ -invariant map.

$$\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r) \iff \forall a \in X \quad \kappa R_a = R_a \kappa,$$

where $R_a(b) = b \triangleleft_r a$, for all $a, b \in X$.

Reflections for right non-degenerate solutions

If (X, r) is a right non-degenerate solution, one can consider the right rack (X, \triangleleft_r) where $a \triangleleft_r b := \rho_a \lambda_{\rho_b^{-1}(a)}(b)$, for all $a, b \in X$.

Theorem [AMS (2024x)]

Let (X, r) be right non-degenerate and $\kappa : X \rightarrow X$ be a ρ -invariant map.

$$\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r) \iff \forall a \in X \quad \kappa R_a = R_a \kappa,$$

where $R_a(b) = b \triangleleft_r a$, for all $a, b \in X$.

If (X, r) is a right non-degenerate solution and $\kappa : X \rightarrow X$ is ρ -invariant, then

$$\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, r) \iff \kappa \in \mathcal{K}(X, \triangleleft_r).$$

Reflections for solutions of derived type

Let (X, \triangleright) be a rack. The *left multiplication group* of (X, \triangleright) is the normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X, \triangleright)$ defined by

$$\text{LMlt}(X, \triangleright) := \langle L_x \mid x \in X \rangle.$$

Reflections for solutions of derived type

Let (X, \triangleright) be a rack. The *left multiplication group* of (X, \triangleright) is the normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X, \triangleright)$ defined by

$$\text{LMlt}(X, \triangleright) := \langle L_x \mid x \in X \rangle .$$

Proposition [AMS (2024x)]

If (X, \triangleright) is a rack, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(X, r_{\triangleright}) &\supseteq C_{\text{End}(X, \triangleright)}(\text{LMlt}(X, \triangleright)) , \\ \mathcal{K}_{\text{bij}}(X, r_{\triangleright}) &= C_{\text{Aut}(X, \triangleright)}(\text{LMlt}(X, r_{\triangleright})) . \end{aligned}$$

Studying rack automorphisms

Problem:

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of racks. For $X \in \mathcal{F}$ determine $\text{Aut}(X)$ and $\text{LMlt}(X)$.



M. Elhamdadi, J. Macquarrie and R. R. López, Automorphism groups of quandles, *J. Algebra Appl.* **11** (2012), no. 1, 1250008, 9 pp.

The authors solve the problem for *dihedral quandles*.



M. Elhamdadi, J. Macquarrie and R. R. López, Automorphism groups of quandles, *J. Algebra Appl.* **11** (2012), no. 1, 1250008, 9 pp.

The authors solve the problem for *dihedral quandles*.

Let G be a group and for all $a, b \in G$ define

$$a \triangleright b = ba^{-1}b.$$

The pair $\text{Core}(G) = (G, \triangleright)$ is a quandle named the *core quandle* of G .

If G is an abelian group, then $\text{Core}(G)$ takes the name of *Takasaki quandle* of G .



V. G. Bardakov, T. R. Nasybullov and M. Singh, Automorphism groups of quandles and related groups, *Monatsh. Math.* **189** (2019), no. 1, 1–21.

The authors describe $\text{Aut}(X)$ for the class of *Takasaki quandles* of a *finite abelian group*, generalizing previous results on the matter.









For conjugation quandles it still an open problem. Among all, an embedding is known [BNS (2019)]

$$\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{Sym}_{Z(G)} \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(X),$$









where $X = \text{Conj}(G)$ of a group G . There are similar results for core quandles.

Thank you for the attention!

References

-  A. Albano, M. Mazzotta, and P. Stefanelli. Reflections to set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. *arXiv:2405.19105* (2024).
-  V. G. Bardakov, T. R. Nasybullov and M. Singh, Automorphism groups of quandles and related groups, *Monatsh. Math.* **189** (2019), no. 1, 1–21.
-  V. Caudrelier, N. Crampé and Q. C. Zhang, Set-theoretical reflection equation: classification of reflection maps, *J. Phys. A* **46** (2013), no. 9, 095203, 12 pp.
-  V. Caudrelier and Q. C. Zhang, Yang-Baxter and reflection maps from vector solitons with a boundary, *Nonlinearity* **27** (2014), no. 6, 1081–1103.
-  I. Cherednik, Factorizing particles on a half line, and root systems, *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* **61** (1984), no. 1, 35–44.
-  K. De Commer, Actions of skew braces and set-theoretic solutions of the reflection equation, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* **62** (2019), no. 4, 1089–1113.
-  T. tom Dieck and R. Häring-Oldenburg, Quantum groups and cylinder braiding, *Forum Math.* **10** (1998), no. 5, 619–639.
-  A. Doikou, B. Rybołowicz and P. Stefanelli, Quandles as pre-Lie skew braces, set-theoretic Hopf algebras & universal \mathcal{R} -matrices, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **57** (2024) 405203.

References

-  A. Doikou and A. Smoktunowicz, Set-theoretic Yang-Baxter & reflection equations and quantum group symmetries, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **111** (2021), no. 4, Paper No. 105, 40 pp.
-  V. G. Drinfeld, On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory, in: *Quantum groups (Leningrad, 1990)*, 1–8, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1510**, Springer, Berlin.
-  M. Elhamdadi, J. Macquarrie and R. R. López, Automorphism groups of quandles, *J. Algebra Appl.* **11** (2012), no. 1, 1250008, 9 pp.
-  D. Joyce, A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **23** (1982), no. 1, 37–65.
-  V. Lebed and L. Vendramin, Reflection equation as a tool for studying solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Algebra* **607** (2022), 360–380.
-  S. V. Matveev, Distributive groupoids in knot theory, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **119(161)** (1982), no. 1, 78–88, 160.
-  E. K. Sklyanin, Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems, *J. Phys. A* **21** (1988), no. 10, 2375–2389.
-  A. Smoktunowicz, L. Vendramin and R. A. Weston, Combinatorial solutions to the reflection equation, *J. Algebra* **549** (2020), 268–290.