



## Corrigendum II to: “Characterization of Fitting $p$ -Groups whose Proper Subgroups are Solvable”

A.O. ASAR

(Received Jan. 14, 2018; Accepted Aug. 19, 2018 — Communicated by F. de Giovanni)

### Abstract

Unfortunately “Corrigendum to Characterizations of Fitting  $p$ -groups whose proper subgroups are solvable” contains an error in the conclusion part of Lemma 2.1 (c). This forces a minor new adjustment in the statement of Theorem 1.1 and of Corollary 1.2 for  $p = 3$  stated in [6]. The new statements of the theorem and its corollary are stated below. The powerfulness property is used throughout the proofs. Therefore a short introduction of this property to infinite nilpotent  $p$ -groups is given in Section 2.

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):* 20F19, 20F50, 20E25

*Keywords:* Fitting group; minimal non-solvable group

## 1 Introduction

The following Theorem 1.1 is the same as the one in [6] with a minor change for the case  $p = 3$ . But it is separated into two parts in order to make the statements simpler.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $G$  be a Fitting  $p$ -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where  $p \neq 2$ . Suppose that in every homomorphic image  $H$  of  $G$  each  $\Lambda$ -pair  $(w_H, V_H)$  has a  $(w_H, V_H)$ -maximal subgroup satisfying the  $(**)$ -property. Then the following hold.*

- (a) *If  $p > 3$ , then  $G$  cannot be perfect.*

- (b) If  $p = 3$ , then  $G$  either is not perfect or has a homomorphic image  $H$  having a dominant pair  $(w_H, V_H)$  with  $W^*(w_H, V_H) = 1$  such that the following hold.  $H$  has a normal nilpotent metabelian subgroup  $B_H$  of class  $c(B_H) = 3$  and  $\exp(B_H/Z(B_H)) \leq 9$  such that  $B$  has a normal subgroup  $X$  with the properties  $Z(B) \leq X$ ,  $B/X$  is elementary abelian and  $X$  is not powerful.

In the proof one encounters with a normal nilpotent subgroup of class 3. The case  $p > 3$  can be settled without much difficulty since then this normal subgroup is regular by [9, III.10.2 Satz] but for  $p = 3$  there is no similar restriction on  $B$ .

**Corollary 1.2** *Let  $G$  be a Fitting  $p$ -group satisfying the normalizer condition in which every proper subgroup is solvable, where  $p \neq 2$ . Suppose that in every homomorphic image  $H$  of  $G$  every dominant pair  $(w_H, V_H)$  has a  $(w_H, V_H)$ -maximal subgroup satisfying the  $(**)$ -property. If  $G$  is not solvable, then  $p = 3$  and has a homomorphic image  $H$  having a dominant pair  $(w_H, V_H)$  with  $W^*(w_H, V_H) = 1$  such that the following hold.  $H$  has a normal nilpotent metabelian subgroup  $B_H$  of class  $c(B_H) = 3$  and  $\exp(B_H/Z(B_H)) \leq 9$  such that  $B$  has a subgroup  $X$  with the properties  $Z(B) \leq X$ ,  $B/X$  is elementary abelian and  $X$  is not powerful.*

By coincidence Theorem 1.1 provides a good example for an application of powerfulness property to infinite groups (see also Corollary 2.7).

The lemmas of this work are new. Lemma 3.1 is parallel to [5, Lemma 2.5] and Lemma 3.2 is extracted from [6, Lemmas 2.1].

$c(X)$ ,  $d(Y)$ , denote the class of a nilpotent group  $X$  and the derived length of a solvable group  $Y$ , respectively. Furthermore for a  $p$ -group  $G$

$$\Omega_k(G) = \langle g \in G : g^{p^k} = 1 \rangle \text{ and } \bar{U}_k(G) = \langle g^{p^k} : g \in G \rangle$$

for every  $k \geq 0$  (for the other notations and definitions see [5,8,9,12]).

## 2 The Powerfulness Property

We begin by extending the definition of a “powerful group” given by Lubotzky and Mann in [12] for finite  $p$ -groups to locally finite  $p$ -groups.

**Definition 2.1** *Let  $G$  be locally finite  $p$ -group and  $L \leq G$ , where  $p > 2$ . Then  $G$  is called powerful if*

$$G' \leq \bar{U}_1(G)$$

*and  $L$  is called powerfully embedded in  $G$  if*

$$[L, G] \leq \bar{U}_1(L).$$

Thus  $G$  is powerful if and only if  $\text{Frat}(G) = \bar{U}_1(G)$ . Furthermore if  $L$  is powerfully embedded in  $G$ , then  $L \triangleleft G$  and is powerful. Trivially if  $L$  is

powerful (powerfully embedded) and  $M \triangleleft L(M \triangleleft G)$ , then  $L/M$  ( $LM/M$ ) is powerful (powerfully embedded).

The following contains just a few of the beginning properties of powerful groups obtained in [12] and the proofs given here (except Lemma 2.6 and Corollary 2.7) are repetitions of the ones given there.

Let  $N \leq H \leq G$  such that  $N$  is powerfully embedded in  $G$  and  $H/N$  is cyclic. Then  $H$  is powerful. Indeed

$$\mathcal{U}_1(H) \geq \mathcal{U}_1(N) \geq [N, H] = H'$$

by [7, Lemma 2.1]

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $G$  be a nilpotent  $p$ -group and let  $K$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  so that  $K/[K, G, G]$  is powerfully embedded in  $G/[K, G, G]$ . Then  $K$  is powerfully embedded in  $G$ .*

PROOF — Assume not. Then  $[K, G] \not\leq \mathcal{U}_1(K)$ . Since

$$[K, G] \leq \mathcal{U}_1(K)[K, G, G]$$

we have  $[K, G] = (\mathcal{U}_1(K) \cap [K, G])[K, G, G]$ . Put

$$M = \mathcal{U}_1(K) \cap [K, G].$$

Then  $M < [K, G]$ . Put  $\bar{G} = G/M$  and let  $s = c(\bar{G})$ . Then there exists an  $r \leq s$  so that

$$[\bar{K}, \bar{G}] \not\leq Z_{r-1}(\bar{G})$$

but  $[\bar{K}, \bar{G}] \leq Z_r(\bar{G})$ . Then

$$[\bar{K}, \bar{G}]Z_{r-1}(\bar{G})/Z_{r-1}(\bar{G}) \leq Z(\bar{G}/Z_{r-1}(\bar{G}))$$

and hence  $[\bar{K}, \bar{G}, \bar{G}] \leq Z_{r-1}(\bar{G})$ . Put  $Z/M = Z_{r-1}(\bar{G})$ . Then  $[K, G, G]M \leq Z$  but  $[K, G] \not\leq Z$  since  $[K, G]M/M \not\leq Z_{r-1}(\bar{G})$ . But since  $[K, G] = [K, G, G]M$  this gives a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $G$  be a nilpotent  $p$ -group and let  $N$  be powerfully embedded in  $G$  such that  $[N, G] \leq Z_2(G)$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{U}_1([N, G]) = [\mathcal{U}_1(N), G].$$

PROOF — First we show that  $[\mathcal{U}_1(N), G] \leq \mathcal{U}_1([N, G])$ . Let  $a^p \in \mathcal{U}_1(N)$  and  $g \in G$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$[a^p, g] = [a, g]^p [a, g, a]^{\binom{p}{2}} = ([a, g][a, g, a]^{(p-1)})^p$$

since  $[N, G] \leq Z_2(G)$ , which verifies the assertion.

Next we show that  $[\mathcal{U}_1(N), G] \geq \mathcal{U}_1([N, G])$ . For this it suffices to show that if  $[\mathcal{U}_1(N), G] = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{U}_1([N, G]) = 1$ . Let  $a \in N$  and  $g \in G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [a, g]^p &= (a^{-1} a^g)^p = (a^{-1})^p (a^g)^p [a^g, a^{-1}]^{\frac{-p(p-1)}{2}} \\ &= [(a^g)^p, a^{-1}]^{-(p-1)/2} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

by [8, Lemma 2.2.2 (ii)] since  $[a, a^g] = [a, a[a, g]] = [a, [a, g]] \in Z(G)$ . Hence it follows that  $\mathcal{U}_1([N, G]) \geq [\mathcal{U}_1(N), G]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $G$  be a nilpotent  $p$ -group and let  $M, N$  be powerfully embedded in  $G$ . Then  $[N, G]$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_1(N)$ ,  $[M, N]$  and  $MN$  are powerfully embedded in  $G$ .*

**PROOF** — First we show that  $[N, G]$  is powerfully embedded in  $G$ . By Lemma 2.2 we may suppose that  $[N, G, G, G] = 1$ . Then  $[N, G] \leq Z_2(G)$ . Thus applying Lemma 2.3 gives

$$\mathcal{U}_1([N, G]) = [\mathcal{U}_1(N), G] \geq [N, G, G]$$

since  $[N, G] \leq \mathcal{U}_1(N)$  and so  $[N, G]$  is powerfully embedded.

Next we show that  $\mathcal{U}_1(N)$  is powerfully embedded. As above we assume that  $[\mathcal{U}_1(N), G, G] = 1$  and so  $\mathcal{U}_1(N) \leq Z_2(G)$ . Applying Lemma 2.3 gives

$$\mathcal{U}_1(\mathcal{U}_1(N)) \geq \mathcal{U}_1([N, G]) = [\mathcal{U}_1(N), G]$$

which was to be shown.

Next we show that  $[M, N]$  is powerfully embedded. Again we assume that  $[M, N, G, G] = 1$  and so

$$[M, N] \leq Z_2(G).$$

First we show that  $[M^p, N] \leq [M, N]^p$ . Let  $m \in M$  and  $n \in N$  and put  $K = \langle m, [m, n] \rangle$ . Then

$$[m^p, n] \equiv [m, n]^p \pmod{\gamma_2(K)^p \gamma_p(K)} \quad (1)$$

by [10, VIII Lemma 1.1 (b)]. Since  $[M, N] \leq Z_2(G)$ ,  $[m, [m, n]] \in Z(G)$  and so

$$\gamma_2(K) = \langle [m, [m, n]]^K = \langle [m, [m, n]] \rangle \leq Z(G).$$

Then  $\gamma_p(K) = 1$  since  $p > 2$ . Thus (1) reduces to

$$[m^p, n] = [m, n]^p ([m, [m, n]]^k)^p \in [M, N]^p \quad (2)$$

for a  $k \geq 1$ . Next

$$[m, n]^p = (m^{-1} m^n)^p \equiv m^{-p} (m^n)^p \gamma_2(K)^p \gamma_p(K)$$

by [10, VIII Lemma 1.1 (a)]. Here

$$\gamma_2(K) = \langle [m, [m, n]] \rangle \leq Z(G)$$

and so  $\gamma_p(K) = 1$  as above. Therefore

$$[m, n]^p = m^{-p}(m^n)^p([m, [m, n]]^k)^p = [m^p, n][m^{-kp}, [m, n]] \in [M^p, N] \quad (3)$$

Now (2) and (3) gives

$$[M, N]^p = [M^p, N].$$

In the same way it follows that  $[N, M]^p = [N^p, M]$ . Therefore

$$[M, N]^p = [M^p, N] \geq [M, G, N] \text{ and } [N, M]^p = [N^p, M] \geq [N, G, M]$$

since  $M$  and  $N$  are powerfully embedded and hence it follows that

$$[M, N]^p \geq [M, N, G]$$

by the Three-Subgroup Lemma, which was to be shown.

Finally

$$\mathcal{U}_1(MN) \geq \mathcal{U}_1(M)\mathcal{U}_1(N) \geq [M, G][N, G] = [MN, G]$$

and so  $MN$  is powerfully embedded. □

**Corollary 2.5** *Let  $G$  be a powerful nilpotent  $p$ -group.*

- (a) *The groups  $\gamma_i(G)$ ,  $G^{(i)}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_i(G)$  and  $\Phi(G)$  are powerfully embedded in  $G$ .*
- (b) *If  $G_{i+1} \leq H \leq G_i$  and  $i \geq 2$ , then  $H$  is powerful.*

**PROOF** — (a) is trivial and (b) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_1(H) &\geq \mathcal{U}_1(\gamma_{i+1}(G)) \geq [\gamma_{i+1}(G), G] = \gamma_{i+2}(G) \\ &\geq \gamma_{2i}(G) \geq [\gamma_i(G), \gamma_i(G)] \geq H' \end{aligned}$$

since  $i \geq 2$ . □

**Lemma 2.6** *Let  $B$  be a nilpotent  $p$ -group which is powerful, where  $p > 2$ . If  $B = \Omega_1(B)$ , then  $B$  is abelian.*

**PROOF** — First we show that  $\exp(B) \leq p$ . We use induction on  $c(B)$  and we may assume that  $c(B) > 1$ . Then

$$\exp(B/\gamma_c(B)) \leq p$$

by the induction assumption since  $B/\gamma_c(B)$  is powerful. This implies that

$$\mathcal{U}_1(B) \leq \gamma_c(B).$$

Then also  $B' \leq \gamma_c(B)$  since  $B$  is powerful and so  $c=2$ . Clearly then  $\exp(B) = p$  by [8, Lemma 5.3.9 (i)], which completes the induction. Now since

$$B' \leq \mathcal{U}_1(B) = 1$$

it follows that  $B' = 1$  and so  $B$  is abelian.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7** *Let  $G$  be a locally finite  $p$ -group whose proper subgroups have finite exponent, where  $p \neq 2$ . If  $\Omega_k(M)$  is nilpotent and powerful for every proper normal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  and  $k \geq 1$ , then  $G$  cannot be perfect.*

PROOF — Assume that  $G$  is perfect. Let  $a \in G$  and put

$$M_a = \langle a^g : g \in G \rangle.$$

Then  $M_a \neq G$  since  $G$  is a union of proper normal subgroups by [13, 12.1.6]. Also  $M_a = \Omega_k(M_a)$ , where  $|a| = p^k$ . Therefore  $M_a$  is nilpotent and powerful by the hypothesis. Clearly it follows from this that  $G$  is a Fitting group.

Now let

$$I = \{a \in G : |a| = p\}.$$

Let  $a \in I$ . Then  $M_a \neq G$  since  $G$  is a Fitting group by the above paragraph. Thus  $M_a$  is nilpotent and powerful by the hypothesis since  $M_a = \Omega_1(M_a)$ . Therefore  $M_a$  is abelian by Lemma 2.6. Next let  $b \in I$ . Then also  $M_a M_b$  is abelian since  $M_a M_b = \Omega_1(M_a M_b)$ . Hence it follows that  $\langle I \rangle = \Omega_1(G)$  is a normal abelian subgroup of  $G$  with  $\exp(\Omega_1(G)) = p$ . Now it follows from this by an easy induction that

$$\exp(\Omega_k(G)) = p^k \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_k(G)/\Omega_{k-1}(G)$$

is abelian for every  $k \geq 1$ , if  $\Omega_k(G) \neq G$  (1).

First suppose that  $\exp(G) = p^n$  for an  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\exp(\Omega_{n-1}(G)) \leq p^{n-1}$  and so  $\exp(G/\Omega_{n-1}(G)) = p$  by (1). Put

$$\bar{G} = G/\Omega_{n-1}(G).$$

Then  $\bar{G}$  is perfect and satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. Let  $\bar{a} \in \bar{G}$  and put  $\bar{M} = \langle \bar{a}^{\bar{G}} \rangle$ . Then  $\bar{M} < \bar{G}$  since  $G$  is a Fitting group. Thus  $\bar{M}$  is nilpotent and powerful by the hypothesis since  $\bar{M} = \Omega_1(\bar{M})$ . Clearly then  $\bar{M}$  is abelian by Lemma 2.6 and so it follows as in the preceding paragraph that  $\bar{G}$  is abelian, which is impossible since  $\bar{G}$  is perfect. Therefore  $\exp(G) = \infty$  and so  $G$  is a minimal non-(finite exponent)  $p$ -group

Now  $G$  is generated by a subset of finite exponent by [1, Theorem 1.1] since  $G = G'$ . Clearly then without loss of generality we may suppose that  $G$  is generated by a subset  $X$  of exponent  $p$ . Thus now if we consider  $X$  instead of  $I$  above, then it follows that  $\langle X \rangle$  is abelian since  $G$  is a Fitting group. But then  $G$  is abelian since  $G = \langle X \rangle$ , which is another contradiction. Therefore the assumption is false and so  $G$  cannot be perfect.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The following lemma is a different version of [5, Lemma 2.5] (see remark below).

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $G$  be a perfect locally finite  $p$ -group satisfying the normalizer condition. Let  $(w, V)$  be a  $\Lambda$ -pair for  $G$  with  $W^*(w, V) = 1$  and let  $E \in E^*(w, V)$  such that  $N_G(E) = N_G(E')$ . Let  $B$  be a normal metabelian subgroup of  $G$  and  $A$  be a normal abelian subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $B$  such that  $B/A$  is elementary abelian,  $Z(G) \leq Z(B) \leq A$  and  $A \leq N_G(E)$ . Put*

$$N = N_G(E), R = N \cap B, D = R \cap E, H = BN \quad \text{and} \quad D_B = \text{Core}_H(D).$$

If  $N/E$  is (locally) cyclic, then the following hold.

- (a)  $C_{B/D_B}(R/D_B) = R/D_B$ . Hence  $Z(B/D_B) \leq N/D_B$  and so is (locally) cyclic.
- (b) If  $AD/D$  has finite exponent, then  $A = \langle a \rangle(A \cap D)$ ,  $\langle a \rangle \cap Z(G) \neq 1$ ,  $\langle a \rangle \cap D = 1$ ,  $|a| = \exp(A)$ ,  $R = \langle b \rangle D$ ,  $\langle b \rangle \cap D = 1$  and  $|b| \leq p|a|$ . Furthermore  $|\bar{b}| > |Z(G)|$  and if  $c(B) \leq p$ , then

$$\bar{U}_1(R/D_B) \leq Z(B/D_B) \text{ and } \langle bD_B \rangle \cap Z(G)D_B/D_B \neq 1.$$

- (c) Suppose  $R/D_B$  is infinite and  $B$  is nilpotent of class  $c$ . Then  $R/D$  is Chernikov and  $R/D_B = (R/D_B)^\circ \times D/D_B$ , where  $(R/D_B)^\circ$  is the infinite locally cyclic subgroup of  $R/D_B$  and  $\exp(D/D_B)$  is finite.

**PROOF** — Clearly  $B$  is not contained in  $N$  since  $B$  is not abelian,  $N/E$  is abelian and  $\text{Core}_G(E) = 1$  by the hypothesis. Thus  $N_B(N) \setminus N \neq \emptyset$  by the hypothesis.

(a) Since  $R \triangleleft N$  it follows that  $D \triangleleft N$ . Also  $[N, R] \leq R \cap E = D$  since  $N/E$  is (locally) cyclic by the hypothesis and this implies that  $R/D \leq Z(N/D)$ . Put  $\bar{H} = H/D_B$ . Clearly  $Z(G)$  is finite by [4, Lemma 2.5]. Let  $z \in Z(G)$  with  $|z| = p$ . Then  $1 \neq \bar{z} \in \bar{R} \setminus \bar{D}$  since  $\text{Core}_G(E) = 1$ . Also  $\bar{R}$  is abelian since  $\bar{R}' \leq \bar{E}$  and  $\bar{R}' \triangleleft \bar{H}$ . Next assume if possible that  $C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{R}) \not\leq \bar{N}$ . Then there exists a  $t \in C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{R}) \setminus \bar{N}$  so that  $N^t = N$  and  $[\bar{t}, \bar{R}] = 1$  by the normalizer condition. Then since  $\bar{t}$  centralizes  $[\bar{t}, \bar{N}]$ , which is contained in  $\bar{R}$ , it follows that

$$1 = [\bar{t}^p, \bar{N}] = [\bar{t}, \bar{N}]^p$$

and so  $[\bar{t}, \bar{N}]$  is a subgroup of order  $\leq p$  of  $\bar{R}$ . Clearly then  $[\bar{t}, \bar{N}]\bar{E}/\bar{E} \leq \langle \bar{z} \rangle \bar{E}/\bar{E}$  since  $\bar{R}\bar{E}/\bar{R}$  is (locally) cyclic. This implies that  $[t, E] \leq \langle z \rangle E$  and then  $t$  normalizes  $\langle z \rangle E$ . Then since  $t$  normalizes  $(\langle z \rangle E)' = E'$  it follows that  $t \in N$  by the hypothesis, which is a contradiction. Therefore  $C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{R}) = \bar{R}$ . In particular then  $Z(\bar{B}) \leq \bar{N}$  and so  $Z(\bar{B})$  is (locally) cyclic by [4, Lemma 2.2].

(b) Now suppose that  $\exp(A/(A \cap D))$  is finite. Also  $Z(G)$  is finite and  $Z(G) \leq A$ . Clearly  $A \not\leq E$  since  $\text{Core}_G(E) = 1$ . In particular  $Z(G) \cap E = 1$ . Also  $A E/E$  is cyclic by [4, Lemma 2.2]. Let  $z \in Z(G)$  with  $|z| = p$ . Then  $z$  has finite height, say  $h$  in  $A$ , since  $\langle z \rangle \cap E = 1$  and  $\exp(A/(A \cap D))$  is finite. Therefore there exists an  $a \in A$  so that

$$a^{p^h} = z \quad \text{and} \quad A = \langle a \rangle \times A_1$$

for a subgroup  $A_1$  of  $A$  by [11, p.180, Lemma] or [13, 4.3.8]. Then also  $\langle a \rangle \cap D = 1$  since  $\langle z \rangle \cap E = 1$ . If  $|a| < \exp(A)$ , then

$$A^* = \langle y^{|a|} : y \in A \rangle$$

is a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$  with  $Z(G) \cap A^* = 1$ . Then  $A^*$  contains a normal subgroup  $L \neq 1$  of  $G$  so that  $w \notin VL$  by [3, Lemma 3.5]. But then  $L \leq E_1$  for an  $E_1 \in E^*(w, V)$ , which contradicts the hypothesis

$$W^*(w, V) = 1$$

(this also holds if  $(w, V)$  is a distinguished pair by [5, Lemma 2.1 (d)]). Therefore  $|a| = \exp(A)$  and then  $A = \langle a \rangle \times (A \cap D)$  since  $A/(A \cap D)$  is cyclic and  $\langle a \rangle \cap D = 1$ . Also  $\exp(B) \leq p \exp(A)$ . Hence  $R = \langle b \rangle D$  for a  $b \in R$  since  $RE/E$  is cyclic and  $|b| \leq p|a|$ . Next we show that  $\langle b \rangle \cap D = 1$ . If  $|bD| = |aD|$ , then we may let  $b = a$ . Then  $R = \langle a \rangle D$  and  $\langle a \rangle \cap D = 1$ . Thus suppose that  $|bD| > |aD|$ . Then  $|bD| > |a|$  since  $|aD| = |a|$ , which implies that  $|bD| = |b|$  since  $\exp(B) \leq p|a|$ . Clearly then  $\langle b \rangle \cap D = 1$ .

Clearly  $|\bar{b}| > |Z(G)|$  by [4, Corollary 2.6]. Assume that  $c(B) \leq p$ . Then for every  $\bar{r} \in \bar{R}$  and for every  $\bar{y} \in \bar{B}$  it follows that

$$1 = [\bar{r}, \bar{y}]^p = [\bar{r}^p, \bar{y}]$$

since  $\exp([\bar{R}, \bar{B}]) = p$  by [5, Lemma 2.6] and  $\bar{R}$  is abelian, which means that  $\bar{U}_1(\bar{R}) \leq Z(\bar{B})$ .

(c) Suppose that  $\bar{R}/\bar{D}$  is infinite and  $B$  is nilpotent of class  $c$ . Then  $\bar{R}/\bar{D}$  is infinite locally cyclic by the hypothesis and so

$$\bar{R}/\bar{D} \leq Z(\bar{B}/\bar{D}).$$

Let  $\bar{x} \in \bar{B}$  and put  $\bar{L} = \langle \bar{x} \rangle \bar{R}$ . Here  $\bar{x}^p \in \bar{R}$ , which is abelian and the subnormal index of  $\bar{x}$  in  $\bar{B}$  is  $\leq c$ . Therefore applying [2, Lemma 2.1] we get

$$\bar{R}^{p^{c-1}} \leq C_{\bar{R}}(\bar{x}).$$



Now since  $x \in B$  is arbitrary it follows that

$$\bar{R}^{p^{c-1}} \leq Z(\bar{B}).$$

Furthermore

$$\bar{R}^{p^{c-1}} \cap \bar{E} = 1$$

by definition of  $D_B$ . Therefore  $\bar{R}^{p^{c-1}}$  is infinite locally cyclic since  $\bar{R}/\bar{R}^{p^{c-1}}$  has finite exponent. It follows that

$$\bar{R}^{p^{c-1}} = (\bar{R}^{p^{c-1}})^{\circ}$$

is the unique divisible abelian subgroup of  $\bar{R}$ . Now since  $\bar{N} = (\bar{R}^{p^{c-1}})^{\circ} \bar{E}$ , we have

$$\bar{R} = (\bar{R}^{p^{c-1}})^{\circ} (\bar{R} \cap \bar{E}) = (\bar{R}^{p^{c-1}})^{\circ} \bar{D} = (\bar{R}^{p^{c-1}})^{\circ} \times \bar{D}.$$

Also  $\exp(\bar{D}) \leq p^{c-1}$ . □

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $G$  be a perfect locally finite  $p$ -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where  $p > 2$ . Suppose that the notations and the hypothesis are those of Lemma 3.1 but here  $B$  is a normal nilpotent metabelian subgroup of  $G$  with  $c(B) \leq p$ . Then the following hold.*

- (a)  $\bar{D} \neq 1$ .
- (b)  $\exp(\bar{D}) = p$ .
- (c)  $\cup_1(\bar{R}) \leq Z(\bar{B})$ .
- (d)  $\exp(B/Z(B)) \leq p^2$ . In particular  $\exp(B/A) = \exp(A/Z(B)) = p$ .

PROOF — Thus  $D_B = \text{Core}_H(D)$  and  $\bar{H} = H/D_B$ , where

$$D = R \cap E \quad \text{and} \quad R = B \cap N.$$

Also  $N/E$  is (locally) cyclic. By the hypothesis  $B \not\leq N$  since  $B$  is metabelian. If  $c(\bar{B}) < p$ , then  $\bar{B}$  is abelian by [5, Lemma 2.7] since  $p > 2$ , which is impossible since  $C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{R}) = \bar{R}$  by Lemma 3.1 (a). Therefore  $c(\bar{B}) = p$ . Furthermore  $\exp([\bar{R}, \bar{t}]) \leq p$  by [5, Lemma 2.6].

(a) Assume if possible that  $\bar{D} = 1$ . First suppose that  $\bar{R}$  is infinite. Then

$$\bar{R} = \bar{R}^{\circ} \times \bar{D} = \bar{R}^{\circ}$$

by Lemma 3.1 (c). Also  $\bar{R}^{\circ} \leq Z(\bar{B})$  since  $\bar{B}$  is nilpotent, which is impossible since  $C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{R}) \leq \bar{R}$  and  $\bar{B} \not\leq \bar{R}$ . Therefore  $\bar{D} \neq 1$  in this case. Next suppose

that  $\bar{R}$  is finite. Then

$$\bar{R} = \langle \bar{b} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \exp(\bar{B}/\langle \bar{b} \rangle) = 3.$$

Let  $|\bar{b}| = p^n$ . Then  $n > 1$  by Lemma 3.1 (b). Since  $\bar{B}/C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{b})$  is cyclic by [8, Lemma 5.4.1 (iii)] and since  $C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{b}) = \langle \bar{b} \rangle$ , it follows that  $|\bar{B}/\langle \bar{b} \rangle| \leq p$ . This gives

$$[\bar{B}, \langle \bar{b} \rangle] \leq \langle \bar{b}^{p^{n-1}} \rangle$$

by [8, Corollary 5.4.2 (ii)]. But also  $\bar{b}^p \in Z(\bar{B})$  by Lemma 3.1 (b) and hence it follows that  $\bar{B}' = [\bar{B}, \langle \bar{b} \rangle] \leq Z(\bar{B})$ , which is impossible since  $c(\bar{B}) = p > 2$ . Therefore the assumption is false and so  $\bar{D} \neq 1$ .

(b) Let  $d \in D$  and  $t \in B$ . Put

$$\bar{y} = \bar{d}\bar{d}^{\bar{t}} \dots \bar{d}^{\bar{t}^{p-1}}.$$

Then  $\bar{y} \in C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{t})$ . Also it is easy to see that

$$\bar{y} = \prod_{i=1}^p [\bar{d}_{,i-1} \bar{t}]^{\binom{p}{i}} = \bar{d}^p [\bar{d}_{,p-1} \bar{t}]$$

since  $\exp([\bar{R}, \bar{t}]) \leq p$ . Moreover

$$[\bar{d}_{,p-1} \bar{t}] \in Z(\bar{B})$$

since  $c = p$ . Therefore  $\bar{d}^p \in C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{t})$ . Since  $t$  is any element of  $B$  it follows that  $\bar{d}^p \in Z(\bar{B})$  and then  $\bar{d}^p = 1$  by definition of  $D_B$ . Therefore  $\exp(\bar{D}) = p$ .

(c) If  $\exp(A/(A \cap D))$  is finite, then  $\bar{U}_1(\bar{R}) \leq Z(\bar{B})$  by Lemma 3.1 (b). So suppose that  $A/(A \cap D)$  is infinite. Then  $\bar{R} = \bar{R}^o \times \bar{D}$ . Hence

$$\bar{U}_1(\bar{R}) = \bar{R}^p = (\bar{R}^o)^p \times \bar{D}^p = \bar{R}^o \leq Z(\bar{B}).$$

(d) Since  $\exp(B/R) = p$  and  $\bar{U}_1(\bar{R}) \leq Z(\bar{B})$  by (c), it follows that

$$\bar{U}_2(\bar{B}) = \langle \bar{y}^{p^2} : \bar{y} \in \bar{B} \rangle \leq Z(\bar{B})$$

Put  $K = \bar{U}_2(B)$ . Then  $K \triangleleft G$ . Now since  $\bar{U}_2(\bar{B}) = \bar{K}$ , it follows that  $[K, B] \leq D_B$ . But since  $D_B \leq E$  and  $\text{Core}_G(E) = 1$ , this gives  $[K, B] = 1$  and so  $K \leq Z(B)$ . Clearly then

$$\exp(B/Z(B)) \leq p^2.$$

Moreover as  $\bar{A}^p \leq Z(\bar{B})$  by (c), it follows that  $[\bar{A}^p, \bar{B}] = 1$  and so  $A^p \leq Z(B)$ . Thus  $\exp(B/A) = \exp(A/Z(B)) = p$  since  $c(\bar{B}) = p > 2$ .  $\square$

**Remark** In Lemma 3.1 we let  $H = BN$  and consider  $\bar{H} = H/D_B$ , where  $D_B = \text{Core}_H(D)$ . In [5, Lemma 2.5] we let  $T = \langle t \rangle R$ ,  $H = TN$  and consider  $\bar{H} = H/D_t$ , where  $t \in B \setminus N$ ,  $N^t = N$  and  $D_t = \text{Core}_H(D)$ . In the remaining part of this work both lemmas are used but no confusion arises. Note that  $D_B \leq D_t$ .

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $G$  be a perfect locally finite  $p$ -group, where  $p > 2$ . Let the notations and the hypothesis be those of [5, Lemma 2.5]. Let  $c(B) \leq p$  and let  $\bar{t} \in \bar{B} \setminus \bar{N}$  with  $\bar{N}^{\bar{t}} = \bar{N}$  and put  $\bar{T} = \langle \bar{t} \rangle \bar{R}$ . Then  $(\langle \bar{t} \rangle \bar{R})' \cap \bar{D} \neq 1$ .*

**PROOF** — If  $c(\bar{T}) < p$ , then  $\bar{T}$  is abelian by [5, Lemma 2.7] since  $\bar{R} \leq Z(\bar{N})$  which is impossible since

$$C_{\bar{T}}(\bar{R}) = \bar{R}$$

by [5, Lemma 2.5 (a)]. Therefore  $c(\bar{T}) = p$ . Since  $\bar{T}' = [\bar{R}, \bar{t}]$  is elementary abelian by [5, Lemma 2.6],  $\bar{T}'$  is contained in  $\Omega_1(\bar{R})$ . Also

$$\Omega_1(\bar{R}) = \langle \bar{z}_1, \bar{D} \rangle$$

by [5, Lemma 2.5 (a)], where

$$1 \neq z_1 \in \Omega_1(Z(G)),$$

since  $\exp(D/D_B) = p$  by Lemma 3.2 (b) and  $D_t \geq D_B$ . In addition  $\bar{z}_1 \in \bar{T}'$  since  $Z(\bar{T})$  is cyclic. So if  $\bar{T}' \cap \bar{D} = 1$ , then  $\bar{T}' \leq \langle \bar{z}_1 \rangle$  since  $\bar{z}_1 \in \bar{T}'$  but then  $c(\bar{T}) = 2$  which is impossible since  $c(\bar{T}) = p > 2$ . Therefore  $\bar{T}' \cap \bar{D} \neq 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4** *Let  $G$  be a perfect locally finite 3-group. Let the notations and the hypothesis be those of [5, Lemma 2.5]. Let  $c(B) = 3$  and let  $\bar{t} \in \bar{B} \setminus \bar{N}$  with  $\bar{N}^{\bar{t}} = \bar{N}$  and put  $\bar{T} = \langle \bar{t} \rangle \bar{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}_1(\bar{T})$  is (locally) cyclic.*

**PROOF** — By the hypothesis  $B$  is a normal nilpotent metabelian subgroup of class 3 of  $G$  and has a characteristic abelian subgroup  $A$  with  $\exp(B/A) = 3$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}_1(\bar{T}) \leq \bar{A} \leq \bar{R}$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{U}_1(\bar{T})$  is not (locally) cyclic. Now it follows from by [5, Lemma 2.5] that

$$\bar{R} = \langle \bar{b} \rangle \times \bar{D} \text{ or } \bar{R} = \bar{R}^0 \times \bar{D} \tag{1}$$

according to whether  $\bar{R}$  is finite or infinite, where  $\bar{R}^0$  is infinite locally cyclic and contained in  $Z(\bar{B})$  and  $\exp(\bar{D}) = 3$  by Lemma 3.2 (b) since  $D_t \geq D_B$ . Also  $\bar{z} \in \mathcal{U}_1(\bar{T})$  since  $Z(\bar{B})$  is (locally) cyclic, where  $1 \neq z \in \Omega_1(Z(G))$ . Therefore there exists a

$$1 \neq \bar{d} \in \mathcal{U}_1(\bar{T}) \cap \bar{D}$$

since  $\mathcal{U}_1(\bar{T})$  is not (locally) cyclic by the assumption and  $\Omega_1(\bar{R}) = \langle \bar{z}, \bar{D} \rangle$ . Also  $[\bar{d}, \bar{t}] \neq 1$  by definition of  $D_t$ . We have  $\exp(\bar{T}') = 3$  by [5, Lemma 2.6]. Hence  $\exp(\gamma_3(\bar{T})) = 3$  and, since  $Z(\bar{T})$  is (locally) cyclic by [5, Lemma 2.5], it follows that  $\gamma_3(\bar{T}) = \langle \bar{z} \rangle$ . Thus  $c(\bar{T}/\langle \bar{z} \rangle) = 2$ . This implies that  $\bar{d}\langle \bar{z} \rangle = \bar{y}^3\langle \bar{z} \rangle$  for a  $\bar{y} \in \bar{T}$  and hence

$$\bar{d} = \bar{y}^3 \bar{s} \quad (2)$$

for an  $\bar{s} \in \langle \bar{z} \rangle$  since  $\bar{d} \in \mathcal{U}_1(\bar{T})$ . Here if  $\bar{y} \in \bar{R}$ , then  $\bar{y}^3 \in Z(\bar{T})$  by Lemma 3.2 (c), which is impossible since  $\bar{d} \notin Z(\bar{T})$ . Therefore  $\bar{y} \in \bar{T} \setminus \bar{N}$ . Thus  $\bar{y} = \bar{t}^i \bar{r}^j \bar{f}$  for an  $\bar{f} \in \bar{D}$  and  $i, j \geq 1$  by (1), where  $\langle \bar{r} \rangle \cap \bar{D} = 1$ . Since  $c(\bar{T}/\langle \bar{z} \rangle) = 2$

$$\bar{y}^3 = (\bar{t}^i (\bar{r}^j \bar{f}))^3 = \bar{t}^{3i} \bar{r}^{3j} \bar{c} \quad (3)$$

for a  $\bar{c} \in \langle \bar{z} \rangle$  since  $\bar{R}$  is abelian. Clearly (3) implies that  $[\bar{y}^3, \bar{t}] = 1$  but since  $[\bar{d}, \bar{t}] \neq 1$ , this is impossible by (2). Therefore  $\mathcal{U}_1(\bar{T}) \cap \bar{D} = 1$  and since  $\bar{R}/\bar{D}$  is (locally) cyclic,  $\mathcal{U}_1(\bar{T})$  must be (locally) cyclic, which completes the proof.  $\square$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1** — Let  $G$  be a Fitting  $p$ -group satisfying the normalizer condition and  $p \neq 2$ . Suppose that in each homomorphic image  $H$  of  $G$  every  $\Lambda$ -pair  $(w_H, V_H)$  has a maximal element satisfying the (\*\*)-property and in addition if  $p = 3$  and  $W(w_H, V_H) = 1$ , then the converse of (b) is satisfied. This means that every normal nilpotent metabelian 3-subgroup  $B_H$  of  $H$  with  $\exp(B/Z(B)) \leq 9$  and of class 3 satisfies the following: if  $X$  is any subgroup of  $B_H$  with  $Z(B) \leq X$  and  $\exp(B/X)$  is elementary abelian, then  $X$  is powerful. Obviously then  $B$  and every homomorphic image of  $B$  is powerful. Assume that  $G$  is perfect. First we show the following.  $G$  has a homomorphic image  $H$  with the following property.  $H$  has a  $\Lambda$ -pair  $(w_H, V_H)$  satisfying (\*\*) and the condition  $W^*(w_H, V_H) = 1$  such that every normal nilpotent subgroup of  $H$  which is abelian-by-elementary abelian is abelian. Assume that there exists no such  $H$ . For each homomorphic image  $X$  of  $G$  satisfying the above properties let  $n(X)$  be the minimum of the classes of all the normal nilpotent abelian-by-elementary abelian subgroups of  $X$  which are not abelian. Among all the homomorphic images  $X$  of  $G$  having a  $\Lambda$ -pair  $(w_X, V_X)$ , satisfying (\*\*) and the condition  $W^*(w_X, V_X) = 1$  there is a homomorphic image  $H$  such that  $n(H) \leq n(X)$  for all such  $X$ . Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $H = G$ . Thus  $G$  has a  $\Lambda$ -pair  $(w, V)$  such that (\*\*) and the condition  $W^*(w, V) = 1$  are satisfied. Also  $n(G)$  is minimal in the above sense and  $n(G) > 1$  by the assumption. Let  $B$  be a normal nilpotent abelian-by-elementary abelian subgroup of  $G$  so that  $c(B) = n(G)$ . Let  $A$  be the largest normal abelian subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $B$  such that  $\exp(B/A) = p$  and  $B' \leq A$ . By the hypothesis there exists an  $E \in E^*(w, V)$  satisfying (\*\*). Put  $N = N_G(E)$ . Then  $N/E$  is (locally) cyclic by [4, Lemma 2.2] since  $p \neq 2$ . Also  $A \leq N$  by [5, Lemma 2.4]. Furthermore  $B \not\leq N$  as in [5, Lemma 2.7] since  $B$  is not abelian. Let  $R = B \cap N$ ,  $D = R \cap E$  as in Lemma 3.1.

If  $c(B) < 3$ , then  $B$  is abelian by [5, Lemma 2.7] since  $p \neq 2$ . Therefore  $c(B) \geq 3$ .

Assume first if possible that  $[B', G] \leq \gamma_c(B)$ . Then

$$[B, B, B, B] = 1$$

and so  $c \leq 3$ . Then  $c = 3$  since  $c \geq 3$  and now a second application of [5, Lemma 2.7] shows that if  $p > 3$ , then  $B$  is abelian and so we get a contradiction. Therefore we may suppose that  $p = 3$ .

By the hypothesis  $G$  satisfies the normalizer condition. By the assumption  $G$  has a  $\Lambda$ -pair  $(w, V)$  such that

$$W^*(w, V) = 1$$

and there exists an  $E \in E^*(w, V)$  such that  $N_G(E) = N_G(E')$ . Furthermore  $\exp(B/Z(B)) \leq 9$  by Lemma 3.2 (d) and  $B$  is powerful by the assumption.

Next there exists a  $t \in B \setminus N$  with  $N^t = N$ . Put  $T = \langle t \rangle R$ ,  $H = TN$ ,  $D_t = \text{Core}_H(D)$  and  $\bar{H} = H/D_t$ . Then  $C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{R}) = \bar{R}$ ,  $\bar{R} \leq Z(\bar{N})$ ,  $Z(\bar{T})$  is (locally) cyclic and

$$\bar{R} = \langle \bar{b} \rangle \times \bar{D} \text{ or } \bar{R} = \bar{R}^o \times \bar{D}$$

according as  $\bar{R}/\bar{D}$  is finite or infinite by [5, Lemma 2.5]. Also  $\bar{D}$  is elementary abelian by Lemma 3.2 (b).

Since  $B' \leq A \leq R$ ,  $Z(B) \leq A$  by [5, Lemma 2.4] and  $R \leq T$ , it follows that  $T$  is powerful by the assumption. Then also  $\bar{T}$  is powerful and so  $\bar{T}' \leq \mathcal{U}_1(\bar{T})$ . Thus  $\bar{T}'$  is cyclic by Lemma 3.4. Furthermore  $\bar{z} \in \bar{T}'$  since  $Z(\bar{T})$  is (locally) cyclic. But since

$$\bar{T}' \cap \bar{D} \neq 1$$

by Lemma 3.3 and  $\bar{D} \cap Z(\bar{T}) = 1$ , this gives a contradiction. Therefore the assumption is false and so  $[B', G] \not\leq \gamma_3(B)$ . Therefore there exists an  $s \in B'$  with  $s\gamma_3(B) \notin Z(G/\gamma_3(B))$ .

Now let  $\bar{G} = G/\gamma_3(B)$ . Then  $c(\bar{B}) < c$ . Let  $F$  be a finite subgroup of  $G$  so that  $\bar{s} \notin \bar{F}$  (for example  $\bar{F} = 1$ ). Then  $(\bar{s}, \bar{F})$  is a  $\Lambda$ -pair for  $\bar{G}$ . Let  $\bar{M}$  be a maximal element of  $W^*(\bar{s}, \bar{F})$ . If  $\bar{M} = 1$ , then  $\bar{B}$  is abelian by the induction hypothesis since  $c(\bar{B}) < c$ . But then  $c(B) = 2$ , which is impossible. Therefore  $\bar{M} \neq 1$ . Now consider  $\bar{G}/\bar{M}$ . By [5, Lemma 2.1 (c)] there exists a finite subgroup  $\bar{U}$  of  $G$  so that  $\bar{s} \notin \bar{U}$ ,  $\bar{F} \leq \bar{U} \not\leq \bar{M}$  and there exists a  $u \in U \setminus M$  so that  $(\bar{s}u\bar{M}, \bar{U}\bar{M}/\bar{M})$  is a  $\Lambda$ -pair for  $\bar{G}/\bar{M}$ . Also  $(\bar{s}u\bar{M}, \bar{U}\bar{M}/\bar{M})$  satisfies the hypothesis and

$$W^*(\bar{s}u\bar{M}, \bar{U}\bar{M}/\bar{M}) = 1$$

But in this case  $\bar{B}\bar{M}/\bar{M}$  is abelian by the induction hypothesis since  $c(\bar{B}) < c$  and this implies that  $\bar{B}' \leq \bar{M}$ . However since  $\bar{s} \in \bar{B}'$  but  $\bar{s} \notin \bar{M}$  this gives another contradiction. Therefore the assumption is false and so it follows that  $B$  is abelian.

Thus we have shown that every normal nilpotent abelian-by-elementary abelian subgroup of  $G$  is in fact abelian. Now let  $A$  be a maximal normal

abelian subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $g \in G \setminus A$  and put  $H = \langle g^G \rangle A$ . Then  $H$  is nilpotent since  $G$  is a Fitting group. Put  $B/A = \Omega_1(Z(H/A))$ . Then  $B/A$  is elementary abelian and  $B \neq A$  since  $H$  is nilpotent. But since  $B$  must be abelian by the first part of the proof this contradicts the maximality of  $A$ . Therefore the assumption is false and so  $G$  is not perfect. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.2** — Let  $G$  be a Fitting  $p$ -group satisfying the normalizer condition whose proper subgroups are solvable, where  $p \neq 2$ . Assume that  $G$  is perfect. By [3, Theorem 1.4 (b)] we may suppose that  $G$  has no homomorphic images having  $(*)$ -triples for non-central elements. Then in every homomorphic image of  $G$  there exist distinguished pairs and dominant pairs by [3, Lemmas 3.1 and Lemma 4.1 (b)]. Assume that in every homomorphic image  $H$  of  $G$  every dominant pair  $(w_H, V_H)$  has a maximal element satisfying  $(**)$  and in addition if  $p = 3$  and  $W(w_H, V_H) = 1$ , then the converse of (b) is satisfied. This means that every normal nilpotent metabelian 3-subgroup  $B_H$  of  $H$  with  $\exp(B/Z(B)) \leq 9$  and of class 3 satisfies the following: if  $X$  is any subgroup of  $B_H$  with  $Z(B) \leq X$  and  $\exp(B/X)$  is elementary abelian, then  $X$  is powerful. Thus every homomorphic image of  $B_H$  is powerful.

First we show that in every proper homomorphic of  $G$  every  $\Lambda$ -pair has a maximal element satisfying  $(**)$ . Thus let  $H \neq 1$  be a homomorphic image of  $G$  and let  $(w_H, V_H)$  be a  $\Lambda$ -pair for  $H$ . Clearly without loss of generality we may let  $H = G$  and let  $(w, V)$  be a  $\Lambda$ -pair for  $G$ . We must show that there exists an

$$E \in E^*(w, V)$$

satisfying  $(**)$ . Since  $w \notin V$ , applying [3, Lemma 3.1] to  $(w, V)$  we obtain a finite subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  containing  $V$  and excluding  $w$  so that  $(w, T)$  is a distinguished pair for  $G$ . Next applying [3, Lemma 4.1 (a)] to  $(w, T)$  we obtain a finite subgroup  $U$  of  $G$  containing  $T$  and excluding  $w$  so that  $(w, U)$  is a dominant pair for  $G$ . Also

$$E^*(w, U) \subseteq E^*(w, V)$$

since  $V \leq U$  by [3, Lemma 3.2]. Now by the hypothesis there exists an

$$E \in E^*(w, U)$$

satisfying  $(**)$ . Since  $E \in E^*(w, V)$ , the assertion is verified. Thus we have shown that in every homomorphic image of  $G$  every  $\Lambda$ -pair has a maximal element satisfying  $(**)$ . But then  $G$  cannot be perfect if  $p > 3$  by Theorem 1.1 (a), which is impossible since  $G$  is perfect by the assumption. Therefore  $p = 3$  since  $p \neq 2$ .

In this case Theorem 1.1 (b) can be applied. This gives a homomorphic image  $H$  of  $G$  having a  $\Lambda$ -pair  $(w_H, V_H)$  with  $W^*(w_H, V_H) = 1$  such that the following holds.  $H$  contains a normal nilpotent and metabelian subgroup  $B_H$  with such that  $\exp(B/Z(B)) \leq 9$ ,  $c(B) = 3$  and there exists a normal subgroup  $K$  of  $B$  with the property that  $Z(B) \leq K$  and  $B/K$  is elementary

abelian such that  $K$  is not powerful. But this is also impossible since  $K$  must be powerful by the assumption. Therefore  $G$  cannot be perfect and so it must be solvable.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

---

- [1] A. ARIKAN – H. SMITH: "On groups with all proper subgroups of finite exponent", *J. Group Theory* 14 (2011) 1-11.
- [2] A.O. ASAR: "Locally nilpotent  $p$ -groups whose proper subgroups are hypercentral or nilpotent-by-Chernikov", *J. London Math. Soc.* 61 (2000), 412-422.
- [3] A.O. ASAR: "On infinitely generated groups whose proper subgroups are solvable", *J. Algebra* 399 (2014), 870-886.
- [4] A.O. ASAR: "On Fitting groups whose proper subgroups are solvable", *Int. J. Group Theory* 2 (2016), 7-24.
- [5] A.O. ASAR: "Characteriations of Fitting  $p$ -groups whose proper subgroups are solvable", *Adv. Group Theory Appl.* 3 (2017), 31-53.
- [6] A.O. ASAR: "Corrigendum to: "Characteriations of Fitting  $p$ -groups whose proper subgroups are solvable", *Adv. Group Theory Appl.* 4 (2017), 91-102.
- [7] N. BLACKBURN: "On a special class of  $p$ -groups", *Acta Math.* 100 (1958), 45-92.
- [8] D. GORENSTEIN: "Finite Groups", *Harper and Row*, New York (1968).
- [9] B. HUPPERT: "Endliche Gruppen I", *Springer*, Berlin (1979).
- [10] B. HUPPERT – N. BLACKBURN: "Finite Groups II", *Springer*, Berlin (1982).
- [11] A.G. KUROSH: "The Theory of Groups I", *Chelsea*, New York (1960).
- [12] A. LUBOTZKY – A. MANN: "Powerful  $p$ -groups I. Finite groups", *J. Algebra* 105 (1987), 484-505.
- [13] D.J.S. ROBINSON: "A Course in the Theory of Groups", *Springer*, Berlin (1980).

A.O. Asar  
Yargic Sokak 11/6, Cebeci  
Ankara (Turkey)  
e-mail: [aliasar@gazi.edu.tr](mailto:aliasar@gazi.edu.tr)