

Advances in Group Theory and Applications © 2020 AGTA - www.advgrouptheory.com/journal 10 (2020), pp. 1–7 ISSN: 2499-1287 DOI: 10.32037/agta-2020-007

A Note on Formations with the Shemetkov Property

VIACHASLAU I. MURASHKA

(Received July 17, 2019; Accepted Oct. 23, 2019 — Communicated by A. Skiba)

Abstract

In this paper we describe all hereditary formations with the Shemetkov property \mathfrak{F} such that the intersection of all \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroups coincides with the \mathfrak{F} -hypercenter of a finite group.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 20D25, 20F17, 20F19 *Keywords*: Shemetkov property; *β*-hypercenter; σ-nilpotent group

1 Introduction and the main results

All groups considered here will be finite. The notation and terminology agree with the books [2],[5]. We refer the reader to these books for the results on formations. Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups. Recall that a subgroup U of G is called \mathfrak{X} -maximal in G provided that: (a) $U \in \mathfrak{X}$, and (b) if $U \leq V \leq G$ and $V \in \mathfrak{X}$, then U = V (see [5, p.288]); the symbol Int $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ denotes the intersection of all \mathfrak{X} -maximal subgroups of G (see [12]). A chief factor H/K of G is called \mathfrak{X} -central in G provided (H/K) \rtimes (G/C_G(H/K)) $\in \mathfrak{X}$ (see [10, pp.127–128] or [6, p.6]). The symbol $Z_{\mathfrak{X}}(G)$ denotes the \mathfrak{X} -hypercenter of G, that is, the largest normal subgroup of G such that every chief factor H/K of G below it is \mathfrak{X} -central. If \mathfrak{X} is a formation, then the \mathfrak{X} -hypercenter exists in every group by [10, §14, Lemma 14.1]. Note that the intersection of all maximal abelian subgroups of G is the center of G. According to R. Baer [1], the intersection of all maximal nilpotent subgroups of G coincides with the hypercenter of G. It was shown that the intersection of all maximal supersoluble subgroups of G does not necessary coincide with the supersoluble hypercenter of G (see [12, Example 5.17]). L.A. Shemetkov posed the following question on Gomel Algebraic seminar in 1995: *«For what non-empty (normally) hereditary local (Baer-local) formations* \mathfrak{X} *do the equality* Int_{\mathfrak{X}}(G) = $Z_{\mathfrak{X}}$ (G) *hold for every group* G?»

The solution to this question for hereditary local formations was obtained by A.N. Skiba in [12] (for the soluble case, see also J.C. Beidleman and H. Heineken [4]) and for the class of all quasi- \mathfrak{F} -groups, where \mathfrak{F} is a hereditary local formation, was given in [9]. Note that the methods of [4, 12] are not very useful for non-local or non-hereditary formations. Here we prove the following result.

Theorem. Let $\mathfrak{F} \neq (1)$ be a formation with the Shemetkov property. Assume that $Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = \operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ holds for every group G. Then there is a partition σ of \mathbb{P} such that \mathfrak{F}^{S} is the class of all σ -nilpotent groups.

Corollary. Let $\mathfrak{F} \neq (1)$ be a hereditary formation with the Shemetkov property. Then $Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = \operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ holds for every group G if and only if \mathfrak{F} is the class of all σ -nilpotent groups for some partition σ of \mathbb{P} .

It is well known that the class \mathfrak{F}^S of all groups whose all subgroups belong to a formation \mathfrak{F} is a formation. Recall [2, p. 268] that a *Schmidt group* is a non-nilpotent group whose all proper subgroups are nilpotent and a formation \mathfrak{F} has the *Shemetkov property* if all \mathfrak{F} -critical groups are either Schmidt groups or groups of prime order (for results about these formations see [2, Chapter 6.4]). There are examples of hereditary non-local formations with the Shemetkov property [3, 7, 14]. According to [7] every hereditary formation with the Shemetkov property is Baer-local [5, Chapter IV, Definition 4.9]. In the universe of all soluble groups this result was earlier obtained by A.N. Skiba [11].

Let $\sigma = {\pi_i | i \in I}$ be a *partition* of the set of all primes \mathbb{P} , i.e. $\cup_{i \in I} \pi_i = \mathbb{P}$ and $\pi_i \cap \pi_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Note that from [2, Theorem 6.4.14] it follows that the class of groups all whose Hall π_i -subgroups are normal for all $i \in I$ is a hereditary formation with the Shemetkov property. Groups in this class are called σ -*nilpotent* (see [13]).

Proposition. Let \mathfrak{F} be a formation such that $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{N}$. Then $\mathfrak{F}^{S} = \mathfrak{N}$. In particular \mathfrak{F} has the Shemetkov property.

It follows from the above result that the class \mathfrak{N}^* of all quasinilpotent groups and the class \mathfrak{N}_{ca} of all groups whose chief factors are either central or simple non-abelian are formations with the Shemetkov property. It was shown in [9] that

$$Int_{\mathfrak{N}^*}(G) = Z_{\mathfrak{N}^*}(G)$$

holds for every group G and there is a group H with

Int_{$$\mathfrak{N}_{ca}$$}(H) $\neq Z_{\mathfrak{N}_{ca}}$ (H).

Thus the converse of Theorem is false.

2 Proof of the main results

A.F. Vasil'ev and the author [15] developed a method for studying formations with the Shemetkov property. Recall [15] that a Schmidt (p, q)-group is a Schmidt group with a normal Sylow p-subgroup. An N-*critical graph* $\Gamma_{Nc}(G)$ of a group G [15, Definition 1.3] is a directed graph on the vertex set $\pi(G)$ of all prime divisors of |G| and (p, q) is an edge of $\Gamma_{Nc}(G)$ iff G has a Schmidt (p, q)-subgroup. An N-*critical graph* $\Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{X})$ of a class of groups \mathfrak{X} [15, Definition 3.1] is a directed graph on the vertex set

$$\pi(\mathfrak{X}) = \bigcup_{\mathsf{G} \in \mathfrak{X}} \pi(\mathsf{G})$$

such that $\Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{X}) = \bigcup_{G \in \mathfrak{X}} \Gamma_{Nc}(G)$.

PROOF OF THEOREM — Let prove that if $Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = Int_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ holds for every group G, then there is a partition $\sigma = \{\pi_i \mid i \in I\}$ of the set of all primes \mathbb{P} such that \mathfrak{F}^S is the class \mathfrak{N}_{σ} of all σ -nilpotent groups.

1) $\mathfrak{F}^{S} \neq (1)$.

Assume that $\mathfrak{F}^{S} = (1)$. It means that every abelian simple group does not belong \mathfrak{F} . Note that the quotient group of some group by its maximal normal subgroup is a simple group. Since $\mathfrak{F} \neq (1)$ is a formation, a non-abelian simple group G belongs \mathfrak{F} . Let p be a

prime, $H = G \wr Z_p$ be a regular wreath product of G and the cyclic group Z_p of order p and N be the base of H. Since G is a simple non-abelian group, N is a minimal normal subgroup of H. Let K be an \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of H. Then $KN/N \simeq K/(K \cap N) \in \mathfrak{F}$ is a subgroup of $H/N \simeq Z_p \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Hence $K/(K \cap N) \simeq 1$. It means that $K \leq N$. Therefore N is the unique \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of H. So $N = Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(H)$. Now $N \rtimes (H/C_H(N)) \simeq N \rtimes H \in \mathfrak{F}$. So $H \in \mathfrak{F}$. Thus $H/N \simeq Z_p \in \mathfrak{F}$, the contradiction.

2) $\pi(\mathfrak{F}^S) = \mathbb{P}$.

Assume that there is a prime $q \notin \pi(\mathfrak{F}^S)$. It means that $Z_q \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Since $\mathfrak{F}^S \neq (1)$ by 1), there is a prime p with $Z_p \in \mathfrak{F}^S \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$. There exists a simple $\mathbb{F}_p Z_q$ -module V which is faithful for Z_q by [5, Chapter B, Theorem 10.3]. Let $G = G(p,q) = V \rtimes Z_q$. Now V is a normal Sylow p-subgroup of G. Note that V is the unique \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of G. Hence $V = Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ by our assumption. Since V is a chief factor of G, $G \simeq V \rtimes (G/C_G(V)) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Since \mathfrak{F} is a formation, it follows that $G/V \simeq Z_q \in \mathfrak{F}$, a contradiction.

3) If $(p, q) \in \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$, then all Schmidt (p, q)-groups belong \mathfrak{F} .

Recall that \mathfrak{F}^{S} is a formation such that the classes of all \mathfrak{F} -critical and \mathfrak{F}^{S} -critical groups coincides. Hence \mathfrak{F}^{S} is a hereditary formation with the Shemetkov property. Now 3) directly follows from Lemma 4 of [11].

4) Let $r \neq p \neq q$ be primes. If $(r, p) \in \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$ and $(r, q) \in \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$ or r = q, then $(p, q) \in \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$.

Assume that $r \neq p \neq q$ are primes, (r, p) belongs to $\Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$, $(p,q) \notin \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$ and $(r,q) \in \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$ or r = q. So G(p,q) is an \mathfrak{F}^S -critical group by 2) and our assumption. So $G(p,q) \notin \mathfrak{F}$.

There exists a simple $\mathbb{F}_r G(p,q)$ -module T which is faithful for G(p,q) by [5, Chapter B, Theorem 10.3]. Let

$$H = H(r, p, q) = T \rtimes G(p, q).$$

From $G(p, q) \notin \mathfrak{F}$ it follows that if K is a \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of H, then KT < H. Let show that every maximal subgroup M of H which contains T belongs to \mathfrak{F} . Note that T is a normal Sylow r-subgroup of M and M/T is isomorphic to a maximal subgroup of G(p, q). So it is either a direct product V of groups of order p or a group Z_q of order q.

Assume that $M \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Then it contains an \mathfrak{F} -critical subgroup. Since T is normal in M and \mathfrak{F} is a formation with the Shemetkov property,

it can be Z_n for $n \in \{r, p, q\}$ or a Schmidt (r, n)-group for $n \in \{p, q\}$. From $\pi(\mathfrak{F}^S) = \mathbb{P}$ by 2) it follows that the first case is impossible. According to our initial assumption, 1) and 3) all Schmidt (r, p)-groups belong to \mathfrak{F} and either all Schmidt (r, q)-groups belong \mathfrak{F} or r = q. Note that Schmidt (r, q)-groups exist only for $r \neq q$. It means that the second case is impossible too, a contradiction. Thus $M \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Therefore the sets of all \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroups of H and maximal subgroups of H which contain T coincide. Thus $T \leq Int_{\mathfrak{F}}(H)$. It follows from $Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(H) = Int_{\mathfrak{F}}(H)$ that $T \leq Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(H)$.

Note that T is a chief factor of H, so that

$$\mathsf{T} \rtimes (\mathsf{H}/\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathsf{T})) \simeq \mathsf{T} \rtimes \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{p},\mathsf{q}) \in \mathfrak{F}.$$

It means that $G(p,q) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Since G(p,q) is a Schmidt (p,q)-group whose proper subgroups belong \mathfrak{F}^S , $G(p,q) \in \mathfrak{F}^S$ and $(p,q) \in \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$, the contradiction.

5) There is a partition $\sigma = \{\pi_i | i \in I\}$ of \mathbb{P} such that $\Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$ is the disjoint union of complete graphs Γ_i where $V(\Gamma_i) = \pi_i$.

Let ~ be a relation on the set of all primes \mathbb{P} such that $p \sim p$ and $p \sim q$ if $(p,q) \in \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$ for $p \neq q$. It is clear that ~ is reflexive. From 4) for r = q it follows that if $(q,p) \in \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$, then $(p,q) \in \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$. Hence ~ is symmetric. From this and 4) it follows that if $(p,r), (r,q) \in \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$, then $(p,q) \in \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$. So ~ is transitive. Thus ~ is an equivalence relation. Let π_i be the i-th equivalence class under ~. Then $\sigma = {\pi_i | i \in I}$ is a partition of \mathbb{P} . Let Γ_i be an induced subgraph of $\Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}^S)$ on the vertex set π_i . It is clear that Γ_i is a complete directed graph on π_i .

6) $\mathfrak{F}^{S} = \mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}$ is the class of all σ -nilpotent groups.

From 2), 3) and 5) it follows that all Schmidt π_i -groups and cyclic groups of order $p \in \pi_i$ belong \mathfrak{F}^S for all $i \in I$. Since \mathfrak{F}^S is a formation with the Shemetkov property, we see that the class \mathfrak{G}_{π_i} of all π_i -groups is a subset of \mathfrak{F}^S for all $i \in I$. Note that every σ -nilpotent group G is a direct product of all its Hall π_i -subgroups for all $i \in \mathfrak{F}^S$. Since \mathfrak{F}^S is a formation, $\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}^S$.

Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups. According to [15, Theorem 5.4] if $\Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{X})$ is a disjoint union of graphs Γ_i where $V(\Gamma_i) = \pi_i$, then every group in \mathfrak{X} has a normal Hall π_i -subgroup. It means that $\mathfrak{F}^S \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}$. \Box

PROOF OF COROLLARY — From Theorem it follows that there is a partition $\sigma = \{\pi_i \mid i \in I\}$ of \mathbb{P} such that $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}^S = \mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}$.

Assume that $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}$. Now $Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = \operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ holds for every group G by [12, Theorem A and Proposition 4.2] or [8, Corollary 1].

PROOF OF PROPOSITION — From $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{N}$ is a hereditary formation it follows that $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}^S$. Assume that $\mathfrak{F}^S \neq \mathfrak{N}$. Then there is a nonsoluble group in \mathfrak{F}^S . Since \mathfrak{F}^S is hereditary, it contains an \mathfrak{S} -critical group G. Now all maximal subgroups of G belong $\mathfrak{F}^S \cap \mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{N}$. Hence G is a Schmidt group. Thus G is soluble, the contradiction. Therefore $\mathfrak{F}^S = \mathfrak{N}$. Since the sets of all \mathfrak{F} -critical groups and \mathfrak{F}^S -critical groups coincide, we see that \mathfrak{F} is the formation with the Shemetkov property.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to A.F. Vasil'ev for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. BAER: "Group elements of prime power index", *Trans. Amer. Math Soc.* 75 (1953), 20–47.
- [2] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES L.M. EZQUERRO: "Classes of Finite Groups", *Springer*, Berlin (2006).
- [3] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES M. D. PEREZ-RAMOS: "Two questions of L.A. Shemetkov on critical groups", J. Algebra 179 (1996), 905–917.
- [4] J.C. BEIDLEMAN H. HEINEKEN: "A note of intersections of maximal *s*-subgroups", J. Algebra 333 (2010), 120–127.
- [5] K. DOERK T. HAWKES: "Finite Soluble Groups", de Gruyter, Berlin (1992).
- [6] W. Guo: "Structure Theory for Canonical Classes of Finite Groups", *Springer*, Berlin (2015).

- [7] S.F. KAMORNIKOV: "On two problems by L.A. Shemetkov", *Siberian Math. J.* 35 (1994), 713–721.
- [8] V.I. MURASHKA: "A note on the generalized hypercenter of a finite group", J. Algebra Appl. 16 (2017), 1750202, 7pp.
- [9] V.I. MURASHKA: "On the *F*-hypercenter and the intersection of *F*-maximal subgroups of a finite group", *J. Group Theory* 23 (2018), 463–473.
- [10] L.A. SHEMETKOV A.N. SKIBA: "Formations of Algebraic Systems", Nauka, Moscow (1989).
- [11] A.N. SKIBA: "On a class of formations of finite groups", Dokl. Akad. Nauk BSSR 34 (1994), 982–985.
- [12] A.N. SKIBA: "On the *F*-hypercenter and the intersection of all *F*-maximal subgroups of a finite group", J. Pure Appl. Algebra 216 (2012), 789–799.
- [13] A.N. SKIBA: "On σ-subnormal and σ-permutable subgroups of finite groups", *J. Algebra* 436 (2015), 1–16.
- [14] A.F. VASIL'EV: "On one question in the theory of formations of finite groups", *Izv. Akad. Nauk Belarusi* 3 (1994), 121–122.
- [15] A.F. VASIL'EV V.I. MURASHKA: "Arithmetic graphs and classes of finite groups", Siberian Math. J. 60 (2019) 41–55.

Viachaslau I. Murashka Faculty of Mathematics and Technologies of Programming Francisk Skorina Gomel State University Sovetskaya Street Gomel (Belarus) e-mail: mvimath@yandex.ru